

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

NOTES. 521

principle of specific performance is justly extended to cases where a benefit has been knowingly accepted as consideration for an act. *Administrator* v. *Rynd*, 68 Pa. St. 386.

An interesting illustration of these principles is seen in Re Stead, The Law Times, Dec. 9, 1899. Realty was devised to trustees for conversion. and then to noid for A and B absolutely as joint tenants. A secret trust intended to be imposed upon this latter interest was communicated by the testator before death to A, but not to B. On a bill by A to have the trust declared, the court held that A was bound by this agreement, but B was not. In that respect, at least, this decision is a marked departure from precedent. It is very ancient law that joint tenants are but one person: thus, livery of seisin to one is livery to all, Co. Lit. 496; occupation by one is occupation by all, Small v. Clifford, 38 Me. 213. And so it has been held generally that, while only those tenants in common to whom a secret trust has been communicated are bound thereby, communication to one joint tenant, as in the principal case, is sufficient to bind all. Rowbotham v. Dwinett, L. R. 8 Ch. D. 430; Fairchild v. Edson, 154 N. Y. 199. So much of the principal case, therefore, as denies enforcement of the trust against B cannot, it seems, be supported; otherwise the case follows well-accepted principles.

UNBORN CHILDREN IN CRIMINAL LAW. — A child en ventre sa mere may be the subject of homicide, — this was again illustrated in England last November. Under an indictment for manslaughter it was proved that the prisoner violently assaulted his wife when she was pregnant. Their child — born later otherwise in a healthy condition — had severe bruises received en ventre sa mere from the blows of the father. It soon died, and the prisoner was accordingly convicted of manslaughter. Solicitors' Journal, Nov. 25, 1899. The earlier English text-writers with the exception of Lord Hale have declared generally that one who causes the death of a young child by injuring it en ventre sa mere is guilty of homicide: 3 Inst. 50; 1 Hawk. P. C. c. 13, § 16; 4 Blackstone, c. 14. And all the judges in Regina v. Senior, 1 Moo. C. C. 346, and Mr. Justice Maule in Regina v. West, 2 C. & K. 784, without stating reasons followed the prevailing view of the text-writers. On the civil side, one finds but little sanction by analogy for this rule of the criminal law. recognition of rights of unborn children in the law of property depends on special equitable grounds. Moreover, by the weight of authority a child cannot recover in an action of tort for damage received en ventre 12 HARVARD LAW REVIEW, 209. The error, however, is not an unnatural one. The early writers felt that he who was instrumental in causing the death of a human being should be punished, and they naturally enough classed this crime as homicide. The offence, however, belongs rather in the category with the crime of the procurement It is essential to manslaughter or murder that there be of abortion. application of force to a subject of the state resulting in death. the crime in the eye of the law occurs, not at the time and place of the death, but at the time and place of the prisoner's act. Therefore, in an indictment for the manslaughter of a young child from injuries received en ventre sa mere, it would be impossible for the state to prove that there had ever been force applied to one of its subjects as a member of society.

68